

United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/939,306	08/24/2001	Thomas Lemmons	INTE.14USU1	2562	
75	90 11/14/2002				
The Law Offices of William W. Cochran, LLC Suite 230 3555 Stanford Road			EXAMINER		
			JONES, SCOTT E		
Fort Collins, CO 80525			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3713		
			DATE MAILED: 11/14/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. U.

_		<u> </u>		S.M.		
A second	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	(
_	09/939,306	j	LEMMONS ET AL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
	Scott E. Jor		3713	L		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature that the period for reply will, by stature the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	.136(a). In no even ply within the statut d will apply and will tte, cause the applic	ot, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	rely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	August 2001					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is r	non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from con	sideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election requ	uirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s))	· =	y (PTO-413) Paper N Patent Application (P			

Application/Control Number: 09/939,306

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a system for playing an interactive sports simulation game comprising a network that transmits said interactive sports simulation game to a plurality of viewers that receive and display said interactive sports simulation game, classified in class 463, subclass 40 and class 725, subclass 139.
 - II. Claims 9-16, drawn to a system and method of/for playing an interactive broadcast sports simulation game comprising displaying a unique user interface on an interactive device for each participant of said interactive sports simulation game, classified in class 348, subclass 14.03.
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because displaying a unique user interface on an interactive device for each participant of said interactive sports simulation game is not required by a broadcast network to transmit a signal to viewers. The subcombination has separate utility by itself, such as, a touch screen interface to guide a player through game menus.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/939,306

Art Unit: 3713

4. If Group I is selected, then the following election of species applies:

- Species A, where the system for playing an interactive sports simulation game includes a broadcast network as set forth in figure 1 and drawn to claims 1-4.
- Species B, where the system for playing an interactive sports simulation game includes a set top box as set forth in figure 2 and drawn to claims 5-8.
- 5. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/939,306

Art Unit: 3713

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott E. Jones whose telephone number is (703) 308-7133. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 A.M. - 5:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Valencia Martin-Wallace can be reached on (703) 308-4119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

SET

sej

November 12, 2002

S. THOMAS HUGHES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700